11/08/2007 12:52

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A. 1625 RADIO DRIVE, SUITE 300 WOODBURY, MINNESOTA TEL 651.735-1100 FAX 651.735-1102

WWW.SSIPLAW.COM

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 0 8 2007

TO: Mail Stop: Amendment	from: Jessica H. Kwak
Attn.: Examiner Patricia L. Nordmeyer	
OMPANY: USPTO	NOVEMBER 8, 2007
ах number: 571-273-8300	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:
PHONE NUMBER: 571-272-1496	sender's reference number: 58032US010 (1004-225US01)
Interview Summary	application serial number: 10/828,453

## **PATENT**

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

Applicant:

Raymond Gosselin

Confirmation No.

CENTRAL FAX CENTER 3998

Serial No.:

10/828,453

April 20, 2004

Customer No.:

NOV 0 8 2007 32692

Filed: Examiner:

Patricia L. Nordmeyer

Group Art Unit:

1772

Docket No.:

58032US010

(1004-225US01)

Title:

TAMPER INDICATING DEVICES AND METHODS FOR SECURING

INFORMATION

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on November 8, 2007.

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In a telephonic interview initiated by Applicant on October 9, 2007, Examiner Nordmeyer and Applicant's representative, Jessica H. Kwak, discussed the above-referenced application. In particular, the parties discussed the claim invention in view of the Wright et al. reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,416,857) and Shadle et al. reference (U.S. Patent No. 6,270,122). The parties further discussed proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 6, 12, 16, and 21.

Applicant's representative noted that Shadle et al. discloses a device that is activated by a pushing force that brings a metal layer into contact with a clearing agent, whereas Applicant's tamper indicating device indicates attempted removal of at least a portion of the tamper indicating device. The parties discussed the different principles of operation and different elements of the Shadle et al. irreversible display device and Applicant's claimed tamper indicating device.

11/08/2007 12:52

Examiner Nordmeyer appeared to agree that Shadle et al. does not disclose a mask that is at least partially physically separated from a tamper indicating device. Applicant proposed amending independent claims 1, 6, 12, 16, and 21 to clarify that the mask is at least partially separated from a window in a flood coat. The Examiner agreed to consider such claim amendments. However, no formal agreement regarding the claims was reached.

No exhibits were introduced during the interview.

Date: November 8, 2007

SHUMAKER & SIEFFERT, P.A.

1625 Radio Drive, Suite 300 Woodbury, Minnesota 55125

Telephone: 651.735.1100 Facsimile: 651.735.1102